PROGRESSIVE FARMER

MRS. L. L. POLK. Proprietor. J. L. RAMSEY, CLARENCE H. POE, Asso. Editor J. W. DENMARK. Business M'g'r. RALEIGH, N. C.

-SUBSCRIPTION-

Cash-Invariably in Advance.

N. R. P. A.

INSTITUTION FOR THE DEAF DUMB AND BLIND AND ITS MANAGEMENT.

As the News and Observer and some of the lesser lights of the same political faith have been making desperate efforts of late to bring the Institution for the Deaf Dumb and Blind into dis grace, a representative of THE Pro-GRESSIVE FARMER called on Mr. John E. Ray, the Principal, toget the facts in the case. We need not introduce Mr. Ray to our readers. He is well known throughout the State. For and Prof. Ely at Johns Hopkins years he was Secretary of the Boards | When E Benjamin Andrews, Presiof the Baptist State Convention. He dent of Brown University, declared for was at one time partner with Col. Polk | bi-metalism, as against a single gold in ownership and management of THE standard, believing that a single gold PROGRESSIVE FARMER. He is, and always has been a Democrat.

for a board of trustess of seven members to manage the affairs of the Instistitution. The institution over which I have the honor to preside as Prin cipal, is divided into two departments, white and colored. These departments are in separate buildings on opposite with the view of having a colored representative on the Board to give special attention to the colored department. Young was confirmed with the others. Of course he met with the Board, and it was his privilege to vote on all ques tions. He generally voted with his party friends, otherwise he avoided in terference with the management of the white department. He never came there, except to attend Board meetings, more than two or three times. Then he saw me at my office, politely trans acted his business and retired. HE NEVER SERVED ON ANY COMMITTEE OF INSPECTION OF THE WHITE DEPARTMENT To me he seemed to studiously avoid doing or saying anything that would in the least embarrass any lady. He has always been respectful to me, both in my (fficial and personal dealings with him. He resigned about June 1st, and has not attended a Board meeting in three months. There is not now a colored representative on the Board."

It is needless to say that Mr. Ray is mortified and disgusted at the effort which is being made to damage the in stitution which closed, last spring, the most prosperous year of its existence. It was but right and proper that on this board of seven trustees, which has the control of the colored as well as the white deaf and dumb and blind, that the colored people should have one representative, and we do not hesi tate to say that if one negro can control six white men, then the six white men deserve no sympathy. nor any place on any board. Mr. Ray is not only the Principal of the Institution, both departments, but is also Secretary ex-efficio of the Board of Directors, hence atter ds all meetings and knows whereof he speaks.

Mr. Ray would not tolerate for a moment even a suggestion that any one man, alone, should visit and in spect the quarters of the ladies em ployed in the institution, no marter what his color, character, or reputa tion. Hence, to him, to the ladies, and to every friend of the unfortunates, for whom the Institution was estab lished: the cartoons with their vuigar suggestions and the premeditated, de liberate, wilful and malicious and slanderous lies which accompany them should forfeit the respect of honest people for the perpetrators and drive their filthy sheet from the homes of decent, self respecting people.

----BY WAY OF REPLY TO THE RE-

CORDER. The statements anent the warfare be tween Christian education and pluto cratic education in our editorial last week are indisputable, and as Sam Jones says "you can't get around, over or through a fact." The Recorder's protest against our statements "no more destroys them than the wail of a child brings down from heaven the round yellow moon for which he cries. To dislike a proposition is not to disprove it." The fact is, the Recorder

see that the wall is uninjured. The Recorder seems to want to prove Recorder wished to be fair, it should cause they give away to worthy ob. Secretary Treasurer, at Hillsboro.

two things-first, that no North Caro- have said that in our issue of July 26th lina college has accepted any gift from | we made a complete retraction. monopoly; and second, that we attacked all North Carolina colleges by Clark got into the controversy with saying that no college should accept Dr. Kilgo because he said Kilgo tried monopoly money. It reminds us of the lawyer who, employed by a man office, and insists that Duke's gift was sued for damages to a kettle, said: "Gentlemen of the jury, I propose to thanked Duke for a gift. The last prove three things: 1 That my client never borrowed the kettle. 2 That it was cracked when he borrowed it. That it was whole when he returned it." But, laying all levity aside, we will now proceed to exhibit the places on station of the gift by members of the our wall which were "butted" by the tobacce trust to Trinity was that the Recorder. In the first place it deales youth there were to be * * taught ders to successful and fears to expose issue, an? monopoly's unholy methods of accumulating money. Let us see,

"When Prof. Bemistaught the truth which condemned the methods Rockefeller's gains, he was for from his chair in the faculty of the University of Chicago. Wher Prof. Ross, in the Leland Stanford University, following the dictates of a godly conscience, taught the truth as he saw it, he shared the fate of Bemis. The like fate befell Prof. Adams at Cornell standard was destructive of the rights of the masses, and, therefore, unrepub-Mr. Ray says: "The law provides lican and unchristian, he was driven from the Presidency of that University; and the purpose of plutocracy be came evident to control public sentiment by an autocratic control of institutions of learning."

Is there no pandering here? Is this the kind of education the Recorder en sides of the city of Raleigh, nearly a dorses? Is this the "man of straw?" mile apart. In selecting the Board of The Recorder perhaps calls this Chris-Trustees to be confirmed in March, tian education. We do not, we shall 1897, James H. Young was selected not. The people do not; the people

> But the Recorder says that Prof. Bemis was discharged because "a more fit man could be obtained." The pe culiar "fitness" of his successor doubtless consisted in his silence regarding Rockefeller's method of accumulating

University, the institution which got | country." rid of Prof. Bemis, was the leading spirit in getting President Andrews to come to Chicago to take the superintendency of the city schools," says the Recorder. Granted. Does that teach the truth to Chicago students? Does not the fact remain that Bemis was discharged and a man who did not see fit to expose Rockerfeller's wrong doing named as his successor? Does President Harper's act atone for this? We think not.

But next comes a remarkable state ment. The Recorder admits that President Andrews was "opposed on account of his views." The fact is, he was forced to resign because his ideas of right did not suit Rockefeller, who would otherwise have given quite a sum to Brown University. And, by the way, as he could not make a gift to Brown because of President Andrews' conscience, does not this go to prove that we are right in guessing the peculiar "fitness" of Bemis' successor in the great "Rockefeller" Chicago University? But as to the remarkable statement: Speaking of Prof. Andrews the Recorder says: "But the Baptist people who control Brown University quickly made it clear that he should be free." Just read that again! Can't you see that it is "base," "false," and an attack on the integrity of "men of God with decades of pure lives behind them?" What is the matter, Bro. Bailey? Don't you know that we ex pressed a belief that Trinity's trustees had or p sed Judge Clark because of his

anti-Dukc-Kilgo views-had been led astray, but that the Methodist people who control it will make it clear that such things must not be, and in this was "base," "false" and a "reflection upon the integrity of godly men?" You say Brown University was led astray, removed the blot. To s statement we on godly men; no intimation of bribery not "base" or "false; you don't feel like "hiding your face from the sight that "we feared that Trinity had been led astray but that the good Methodist people who control it will remove the blot," you hold up your hards in holy horror and tell us our statement is awful, "base," "false," and unholy. We

little jewel called consistency? Evi

dently it was not very extensively

Recorder quotes us as saying at the

to get a four years' tenure upon his not an issue; that Judge Clark once statement may be true, but Clark did ky." not weat a President who would en . And that alongside your statement dorse nuke's method of making money. that "the gift acquires for the giver a He says that Kilgo's actio-d "gave coor to the charges" "shat the consida petitical heresy." Duke's gift not an

are wrong in believing that Judge Mark is wise enough to see the progress | never heard of any such in the United of a purpose of plutocracy to capture our educational institutions. If the Recorder will read his letter to Dr. Kilgo under date of July 14, 1897, it will see that he speaks of-

"The attempt of Northern multi millionaires to capture by gifts and endowment the control of the education of the children of the people." Any thing more convincing needed? If so,

Neither does the Recorder now believe that there is any conspiracy on foot on the part of corporate wealth to corrupt education. Oh, no; such a pay. We are afraid to get near you. statement is "an attack on Christian institutions, * * and if not a fight try to get rid of you." on Christian education, we cannot

The Recorder of August 4th, 1897,

has debauched the suffrage in this na president because he wrote books on finance which did not please certain men of wealth. Is IT POSSIBLE THAT MONEY IS NOT CONTENT WITH DEBAUCH ING THE SUFFRAGE AND NOW CONSPIRES TO CORRUPT EDUCATION? The nation cannot stand this; the people will not stand it. Somebody must cut off the "And President Harper, of Chicago corporations from the throat of the

Yes, it is true under the title 'Alarming" the justly alarmed Recorder asked:

"Is it possible that money conspires to corrupt education?"

Ah, the Recorder forgets. 'It was blown up by its own floating mine."

The Recorder now argues that the gifts of monopoly exert no influence whatever. But barely a year ago, in the Recorder of July 14, 1897, to be exact, we find these words:

"It may justly be argued that a gift acquires for the giver a certain con sideration "

To day the Recorder would call such a statement an insult to all North Carolina Christians, would it not, Bro. Bailey? Floating mine No. 2, is it not? Again the Recorder of August 10th, 1898, says:

"We demand that * * benefactors shall be free to make gifts without being blackguarded as conspirators or scoundrels.

It was not ever thus, At one time when the Recorder still stuck to the old faith, it did not believe that you should cease to call a scoundrel a scoundrel because he gave a part of his ill gotten gains to colleges. "But how the mighty have fallen!" For instance, read this from the Recorder of May 16th, 1894:

* * Trus's may be good things: a professor of political economy has said they employ labor, buy produce and reduce prices. Also, they sometimes become "benevolent" and endow colleges with insurance policies bought with their ill got gains; and there is a same article you said the statement | man in our State who has declared that such endowments are "more enduring than classic piles." These things may be true. Perhaps our college boys but the Baptist people who control it should be taught to admire the operations of trusts. But they are unlawpresume is Christian; the proper mat | ful in North Carolina and as such ter for a religious paper; no reflection should be destroyed, whether they be useful to needy institutions or instructive to college students or not."

And in the Recorder of May 5th. of your fellows and if possible from 1897, speaking of "Benefactors," Bro God himselt" after making it: nothing Bailey said: "Princeton, Harvard, of the kind. But when we remarked Pennsylvania, Chicago, Columbia. Yale and Leland Scanford received large additions to their endowments.

* * This appears very creditable. It will do immense good. But there are some things it will not do-namely, it will not at the last day justify the wonder if Bro. Bailey ever heard of a means some men have used to accu mulate their money; it will not justi'y the oppression of wage earners, or the mined in its native land. Next the making of profits by taxing the people under the guise of protection; it will time that Dake's gift was "a glorious not make up for the interference of it raised a great dust, every one can did; we had not thought of Mr. Duke's legislatures * * We must not suffer manner of making money. But if the these men to do what they please be

jects some of their ill gotten money." Would you dare say this again, Bro. The Recorder then says that Judge Bailey! Just write it for your leading editorial. Place it alongside your demand that "no benefactor be black-

> guarded as a scoundrel." And that alongside your statement that "some one must cut off the corporation from the throat of the coun

certain consideration."

And that alongside your sarcastic remark: "Perhaps our college boys should be taught to admire the opera tions of the trusts."

And that alongside your statement that there is no education in North The Recorder, too, has insisted that | Carolina that panders to the moneychangers in the temple, and that you

And that alongside the question you asked under head of "Alarming:" "Is Fe it possible that money conspires to corrupt education?"

Perfectly bewildering, is it not? As Floating mines? Why they are all around you, Bro. Bailey. Flee from the wrath to come.

Bro. Bailey intimates that we are afraid of him. Says we "take care to Ju try to get rid of him." Right you are, Bro. Bailey. We wouldn't be in the midst of so many floating mines for No one blames us for "taking care to No

Now, as to the statement that we impeach the integrity of godly men and cast reflections upon Methodist conferences, etc., the Recorder knows. "It is clear that corporate wealth or ought to know, that no such thing was said or intended. We said we be tion, and that it can do so again upon lieved Trinity College had been tempoa day's notice. * * Money has elected rarily led astray. We said we be bad men and defeated good ones, lieved the Methodist people would has recently disciplined a university the Methodist people believe as we do. guration of Mr. Cooke's successor. We have a right to our opinion. We presume that Judge Clark is as good a Christian as Bro. Bailey. Hence we have defended Judge Clark and have nothing to hide, and no apology to

Now as to Oxford and Cambridge and robber barons. Robber barons are things of the past; we have nothing to fear from them. Not so with the money power. It is a living thing, and so we ask with Recorder: Does money "conspire to corrupt education? We print the Recorder's state ment in full. We believe this is best. When we can't answer a statement we shall give it to our readers and let them draw their own conclusions.

Finally, the Recorder says that we abuse the men who seek to clothe ser vants of Christ in the light of God's truth that they may feed humanity and relieve the oppressed. You are mistaken, Bro. Bailey. We simply ex pose the hypocrisy of the men who are 'unjust, who stifle freedom, and cause the people to suffer" for lack of bread and raiment, who are themselves op pressors, and still have the face to give one per cent. of their stolen property back saying that they wish to relieve the oppressed and feed humanity. How Bro. Bailey or any other sensible man can believe them is beyond our com prehension. We are not yet ready to cease abusing them as conspirators and scoundrels, and though some may now differ with us, we are delighted to know that 'in time the people will perceive who are thair friends and who desires to help them "

And of course we know that monopoly does not give money in its own name, but in the name of individuals. If there is another point in the Recorder's article which we have failed to notice we shall be glad to have some one point it out.

How's your neighborhood? Does every voter take THE PROGRESSIVE FARMER? If not, send in a club of campaign subscribers. Only 20 cents

YOUR ATTENTION, PLEASE.

We wish to thank those of our sub scribers who so warmly praise the recent issues of THE PROGRESSIVE FAR MER. We are here to give the people the truth, and we have administered ance return their thanks to His Excelwe intend to continue doing.

cents Surely every subscriber can useless expenditures." send a club of five. Let us have them. We thank those who have already sent clubs, and trust that others will keep the balrolling. Hand this paper to your neighbors and send in a club. The time is short, and what is done must be done quickly.

can get quite a good photograph by sending 25), to Bro. J. T. B. Hoover,

PEOPLE WANT TO HEAR FROM CAPT. COOKE AGAIN.

WHY WAS MONEY HELD BACK AND NOT PAID INTO STATE TREAS-

URY WHEN COLLECTED?

Some Facts Which He Will Probably Find It Hard to Explain Away.

Correspondence of the Progressive Farmer. The following open letters explain themselves. The people may draw their own conclusions:

> STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA. Treasury Department, R leigh, Aug. 19, '98.

Hon. E C. Duncan, Raleigh, N. C:

DEAR SIR:-Replying to your request of this a, m, for a statement of the receipts into the State Department, and the payments by that Department into the Treasury Department, during the time Hon. C. M. Cooke was Secretary of State, I have the honor to say, after having examined and compared the books of both the State and Treasury Departments, I find the following facts:

Mr. Cooke was inaugurated on, or about, Sept. 4th, 1895 The accounts upon which he made returns into the Treasury are: "Fees," "Seal Tax," "Insurance Tax," "Sale of Laws and Journals," and "Sale of Supreme Court Re. ports," On these accounts he collected and paid into the State Treasury as follows:

HOP	78:					
eb.	29,	1896,	Collected to this date	\$21 3	643 392	76 68
pril	29,	1896	Leaving in hand	\$18 28	251 896	08 69
		3	Making total amount on hand	\$47 35	,147 ,517	77 22
uly	31,	1896,	Leaving in Secretary's bands	\$11 7	630 ,755	55 70
			Making total amount in hand	\$19 14	386	25 02
0V.	30,	1896,	Leaving in hand	\$5 7	.186 762	23 69
			Making total in hand	\$12 10	948 400	92 83
eb.	12,	1897,	Leaving in hands of Secretary	\$ 2	542 962	09 27
			Making a total of	. 3	504	36

I find also, that the Secretary of State collected on account of "Redemntion of Land Sold for Taxes," as follows: Nov. 9th, 1895, \$63 17; Aug. 18th. 1896, \$13 04; Sept. 10th, 1896, \$310 37, making a total of \$386 58 received on Everybody knows these things. Money make it right. We believe the bulk of this account, none of which was turned into the Treasury until after the inau.

I am prepared to certify that this statement is correct. J. W. DENMARK, Chief Clerk. Respectfully,

> STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA. Treasury Department. Raleigh, Aug. 17, '98

Hon. Cyrus Thompson. Secretary of State, Raleigh, N. C: DEAR SIR:-Answering your request of yesterday, I have to say, that the Ledger of this Department shows that the receipts into the Treasury from the the Secretary of State, on account of sales of Supreme Court Reports since and including the year 1881, have been by years, as follows:

,,,,,	re area D		~ #		2000												-																						
	1881.		г.										6 5					è								œ.						43			. \$	1	351	6	35
	1882.																																				821		
	1883.		*:*														6										24			×						2	036	(00
	1884.		*:*				00.0																											,		1	590	6	00
	1885.								**							-		*	on co		*			ď	ś.,	(ka		0					* . *	٠	*)	1	426	Ę	93
	1886.			ene:			٠.		* *			100			100					*		(W.)			40					w.je	œ.					1	826	-]	11
	1887.							- 1				ũ.							-::40		4114			*	٠.					v.		v.			4	1.	434	()4
	1888.																																			2	328	4	5
	1889.									Ġ.						٠.		*				. 4			d,	4	11.	1.1		. ,							035		100
	1890.																																				966		
	1891.																																			2	618	0	1
	1892.																																						
	1893	•																						٠									*				621	1	2
	1894					•		*	*						É					*					4					19	•,-		*		•)				
	1895	*					6			(*		0	*		*		٠		*	- 0		-)	0	0	10			۰		*			- 50	330		
	1896				3					17					é:		Ė		ĸ.			(w		٠		*	d		٠		٠						686	- 177	
	1897,																												٠		*	3		9			516	1	177
	1897,											è	-				*		*		٠	9						ŧ.							*	- 550	617	1.0	30 8 300
	1898,	to	Ju	lу	3	19	t		٠					٠	*							2						4			*				*	2	074	. (39
	I true	t y	OU	l V	VI	1	fil	nd	l	th	е	i	ni	ľo	rı	n	at	i	n	b.	d	08	ir	10	d,	İ	n	tl	30	8	ιb	0	VE	3	fig	zu	res,	7	which
2	the ar	mi	al		46.0	39	in	te	1 0	n	t	h	ie	1	a.c	C	ou	ır	ıt.	. 1	3.6	1	эе	r	8	h	9	le	de	re	r.		1	t	ia	Rt	ran	O'	a the

your records do not reach back of September, 1895. J. W. DENMARK, Chief Clerk. Respectfully,

GETTING "HARD UP."

With flaming headlines, the News and Distorter of the 14 h, said: "IT IS A REVOLUTION

Of course there are now, and always

Members of State Alliance Return to Democracy."

have been. Democrats in the Alliance. order were it not for the misrepresentation of the Democratic press. But as for the Populist members returning to Democracy-that is another matter. The Distorter said that the returning Pops said they were disgusted with Governor Russell's administration,

That's good. We are delighted to hear it say this. We are convinced that it must be sadly in need of something to misrepresent, when it tells such palpable falsehoods. It can fool no one but its own deluded followers with its silly talk about the disgust of the State Alliance at "Russell rule." The following resolution, which we are told passed almost if not quite unanimously, knocks the spo's out of the Distorter's falsehood:

"The North Carolina Farmers' Alli some large doses of late. That's what lency Governor Russell for his efforts to further our demands in obtaining But the truth must be put into the cheaper railroad charges, freight and hands of the people. We want every passenger, for the people of the State, subscriber to get us a club of campaign and that we hereby express our confisubscribers. The paper will he sent dence in his integrity and earnest def om now till the election for only 20 sire to save the tax payers of the State

This is a part of a resolution, the remainder of which was published by on the streets of Murphy, after a big the Distorter. This part was evidently intentionally omitted in order that it might give itself an opportunity to misrepresent the State's Executive, without contradicting itself. What do honest people in whatever party think Those who would like to have a of such willful and premeditated falseran against a stone wall, and thought surprise to Trinity." We confess we corporations with the elections or group picture of the Sale Alliance hoods, such artless attempts to deceive the people? Surely the State saver has despaired of fooling any but the ignorant, when he makes such statements Boom.

as these, which none but the most ignorant can believe.

Since writing the above we have seen a copy of the Distorter, which after copying our statement of last week: "In what we have said in this article, we should not be understood as arguing that it is good policy to give and more Democrats would join this the public offices to the negroes at this time," has the cheek to say that we "exalt negroism" and say that "white folks must stand aside." Such falsehoods will harm no one but the conscienceless author of them.

The drowning man catches at a straw. The State saver evidently thinks the days of his crew are numbered or he would not attempt to fight with boomerangs.

LOWERING HOOLA BOOM'S AS-PIRATIONS.

Hon. Hoola Boom Campbell, the editor of the Murphy Bulletin, is now prepared to sympathize with Me j Z:ke Bilkins. The flag which has floated so proudly in the first position on Hoola Boom's editorial page now trails in the dust, the flag and not the staff being nearest terra firma. The Republican convention at Asheville and not the "fusion administration" has resulted in a "lowering of his aspirations." He had expected to capture a seat in Congress, but says in his last issue:

"We had not been in that gem city but a very short time before we found that our prospect for a seat in Congress looked about as clear as red mud does freggi in mid winter. We were ap proached by prominent parties and was told that it was going to be arranged for us to put Mr. Pearson in nomination before the convention. Our reply was, we did not want to deliver our own funeral oration.

ciple, but must abide our turn for political preferment." When it comes to philosophy, the

"We are a Republican from prin-

Greeks must give the palm to Hools